NI MHD Modeling of the Corona and Turbulence

Laxman Adhikari¹, Gary Zank¹, Lingling Zhao¹, and Daniele Telloni²

¹Department of Space Science and Center for Space Plasma and Aeronomic Research ²INAF, Torino, Italy





First meeting, ISSI, December 5 – 9, 2022

CENTER FOR SPACE PLASMA AND AERONOMIC RESEARCH AND THE DEPARTMENT OF SPACE SCIENCE

Temperature of the solar corona



Wave/Turbulence approach



FIG. 1.—Cartoon sketch of the basic physics underlying the proposed coronal heating mechanism.

Many papers followed Matthaeus et al 1999 approach (e.g., Matsumoto & Shibata 2010; Verdini et al. 2010; Chandran et al. 2011; Lionello et al. 2014; Woolsey & Cranmer 2014)

⁽Matthaeus et al 1999)

Reconnection/Loop opening approach

Transverse photospheric motions lead to "twisting and braiding" of magnetic field lines and energy released in form of current sheets, with dissipation thought to occur via nanoflares (Parker, 1972, 1988, 1994)

- Mass and energy deposited into open-field regions via interchange reconnection (Fisk et al., 1999, Fisk, 2003)
- Magnetic carpet (Title & Schrijver, 1998) - photosphere



Generation of 2D turbulence



2D+slab vs W/T transport model of coronal turbulence

NI 2D+slab model

- Majority 2D and minority slab in energy-containing range
- Anisotropic IR, primarily 2D, minority slab
- Uni-directional Alfven/slab propagation with $k_{\rm ||}^{\rm -5/3}$ spectra is possible
- O(M) density fluctuations, $k_{p}^{-5/3}$ spectra, and variance

W/T model

- Majority slab and minority 2D in energy containing range
- Either isotropic IR likely or G-S scaling
- Uni-directional Alfven/slab propagation with $k_{\rm II}^{\rm -5/3}$ spectra is not possible
- O(M) density fluctuations

• 2D turbulence and NI/slab turbulence follow the ordering M_A^t : (M_A^t)^2 (Zank et al 2017), where M_A^t is the turbulent Alfven Mach number.



Does Turbulence Turn off at the Alfvén Critical Surface?



NI MHD Coronal Turbulence Model



Structures in the solar atmosphere







Figure 15. Snapshots of density (ρ), perpendicular velocity components (ν_{θ} and ν_{ϕ}), and the perpendicular Elsässer variable $|z_{+}^{+}|$, respectively (from left to right), in a spherical slice at $r = 4 R_{\odot}$, 1.5 t_A after the start of the simulation, in the stochastically driven plume simulation.

(Magyor et al 2021)



- Spacecraft cannot measure the 2D turbulence in the parallel geometry between the background fields. This does not mean that 2D turbulence is absent.
- The entropic density fluctuations are advected by the dominant 2D velocity fluctuations in the sub- and super-Alfvenic region during encounter 8 (where the background fields are parallel, and PSP observes only the slab component), consistent with the NI MHD description.

(Zank et al 2022)

Structures near the Sun

8-40

40-72

10

8

6

4

2

0

0.46

MM-DD 08-17

R (AU)

08-23

0.34

08-29

0.21

of events



Year 2020-02-01

(nT)

(Zhao et al 2021)

Alfven waves in the solar wind



(Belcher & Davis 1971)

Solar wind observation



- One of the properties of solar wind turbulence is spectral anisotropy.
- > Critical balance predicts different scalings for parallel and perpendicular spectra $k_{\perp}^{-5/3}$ and k_{\parallel}^{-2} provided the (normalized) cross helicity $|\sigma_c| \sim 0$.
- > Assuming Taylor's hypothesis and the Parker spiral magnetic field, PSP is more likely to measure parallel spectra k_{\parallel} close to the Sun.

Highly field-aligned flow



WIND & PSP observed highly field-aligned flow:

- the normalized cross helicity \sim 0.8 1
- the spectra show a -5/3 power law.

 Different from critical balance theory (Goldreich, P. & Sridhar, S. 1995) which predicts a k⁻² power-law for a field-aligned flows. Which <u>theory can describe a k-5/3</u> power-law exhibited by a fieldaligned flows?

NI MHD 2D + Slab spectral theory



For strongly imbalanced turbulence, we find

 $G^{*}(k_{\perp}) = \varepsilon_{*}^{1/2} \varepsilon_{\infty}^{1/6} k_{\perp}^{-5/3} \text{ and}$ $G^{*}(k_{z}) = \varepsilon_{*}^{1/2} \varepsilon_{\infty}^{1/6} k_{t}^{2(a-1)/3} k_{z}^{-(2a+3)/3},$

The choice a = 1 corresponds to a parallel spectral index of -5/3, as we observe in the PSP data.

(Zank et al. 2020)

NI MHD 2D + slab turbulence transport equations

> (Zank et al 2017;2018; Adhikari et al 2017; 2020)



NI MHD theory is applicable in solar wind plasma even when the incompressible MHD theory is not applicable.

(Zank & Matthaeus 1991; Zank & Matthaeus 1992, 1993; 2020; Hunana & Zank 2010)

Describe the radial evolution of 2D and slab turbulence

Transport of NI MHD turbulence (Zank et al 2017)

$$2\mathsf{D} \quad \frac{\partial \mathbf{z}^{\infty\pm}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{U} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{z}^{\infty\pm} + \mathbf{z}^{\infty\mp} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{z}^{\infty\pm} + \mathbf{z}^{\infty\mp} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{U} + \frac{\mathbf{z}^{\infty\pm} - \mathbf{z}^{\infty\mp}}{4} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{U} \\ - \frac{\mathbf{z}^{\infty\pm} - \mathbf{z}^{\infty\mp}}{4} \mathbf{z}^{\infty\mp} \mathbf{z}^{\infty\mp} \frac{1}{\rho} \cdot \nabla \rho = -\frac{1}{\rho} \nabla \left(P^{\infty} + \frac{B^{\infty 2}}{2\mu_0} \right).$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{ No Alfven wave effect}$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{z}^{*\pm}}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{U} \mp \mathbf{V}_{A0}) \cdot \nabla \mathbf{z}^{*\pm} + \mathbf{z}^{\infty\mp} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{z}^{*\pm} + \mathbf{z}^{*\mp} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{z}^{\infty\pm} + \mathbf{z}^{*\mp} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{z}^{*} + \mathbf{z}^{*}$$

$$\mathbf{z}^{\infty\pm} \equiv \mathbf{u}^{\infty} \pm \frac{\mathbf{B}^{\infty}}{\sqrt{\mu_0 \rho(\mathbf{x})}} \equiv \mathbf{u}^{\infty} \pm \mathbf{v}^{\infty}_A, \qquad \mathbf{z}^{*\pm} \equiv \mathbf{u}_1 \pm \frac{\mathbf{B}^*}{\sqrt{\mu_0 \rho(\mathbf{x})}} \equiv \mathbf{u}_1 \pm \mathbf{v}^*_A.$$

NI MHD Turbulence Transport Equation

$$\begin{split} U\frac{dE_T^{\infty}}{dr} &= -\left(\frac{E_T^{\infty}}{2} + \left(2a - \frac{1}{2}\right)E_D^{\infty}\right)\frac{dU}{dr} - \frac{2U}{r}\left(\frac{E_T^{\infty}}{2} + \left(2a - \frac{1}{2}\right)E_D^{\infty}\right) \\ &- \frac{U}{\sigma f(r)}\left(\frac{E_T^{\infty}}{2} + \left(2a - \frac{1}{2}\right)E_D^{\infty}\right)\exp\left(\frac{r - r_a}{\sigma}\right)\frac{f_m - f(r)}{\exp\left(\frac{r - r_a}{\sigma}\right) + 1} \quad \longleftarrow \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{Superradial expansion} \\ &- \alpha\frac{|E_T^{\infty} + E_C^{\infty}|^2|E_T^{\infty} - E_C^{\infty}|^{1/2}}{L_{\infty}^+} - \alpha\frac{|E_T^{\infty} - E_C^{\infty}|^2|E_T^{\infty} + E_C^{\infty}|^{1/2}}{L_{\infty}^+} \quad \longleftarrow \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{Nonlinear term} \\ &+ \frac{S^{\langle z^{\infty+2} \rangle} + S^{\langle z^{\infty-2} \rangle}}{2}; \end{array} \end{split}$$

NI/slab

2D

$$\begin{split} (U - V_A) \frac{dE_T^*}{dr} &= -\frac{1}{2} \frac{dU}{dr} E_T^* + (2b - 1) \frac{U}{r} E_T^* + \frac{V_A}{2\rho} \frac{d\rho}{dr} E_T^* \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} (2b - 1) \frac{U}{\sigma f(r)} E_T^* \exp\left(\frac{r - r_a}{\sigma}\right) \frac{f_m - f(r)}{\exp(\frac{r - r_a}{\sigma}) + 1} \\ &- 2\alpha \frac{E_T^* |E_T^\infty + E_C^\infty| |E_T^\infty - E_C^\infty|^{1/2}}{L_\infty^+} \\ \text{et al} &+ \frac{S^{\langle z^{*+2} \rangle}}{2}, \end{split}$$

(Zank et al 2017, 2018; Adhikari et al 2020,2022, Telloni et al 2022)

Conservation of Turbulence Energy

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathsf{2D} \quad \frac{1}{r^2 f(r)} \frac{d}{dr} \left[r^2 f(r) U(E_w^{\infty} + P_w^{\infty}) \right] = U \frac{dP_w^{\infty}}{dr} + \rho \left[-\alpha \frac{|E_T^{\infty} + E_C^{\infty}|^2 |E_T^{\infty} - E_C^{\infty}|^{1/2}}{L_{\infty}^+} \right. \\ & -\alpha \frac{|E_T^{\infty} - E_C^{\infty}|^2 |E_T^{\infty} + E_C^{\infty}|^{1/2}}{L_{\infty}^+} + \frac{S^{\langle z^{\infty+2} \rangle} + S^{\langle z^{\infty-2} \rangle}}{2} \right], \\ & \mathsf{Turbulence \ energy \ density} \qquad \mathsf{Turbulence \ pressure} \\ & E_w^{\infty} = \rho E_T^{\infty}/2 \qquad P_w^{\infty} = \frac{\rho}{2} \left[\frac{E_T^{\infty}}{2} + \left(2a - \frac{1}{2} \right) E_D^{\infty} \right] = \frac{E_w^{\infty}}{2} \left[1 + 2 \left(2a - \frac{1}{2} \right) \sigma_D^{\infty} \right] \\ & \mathsf{NI/slab} \quad \frac{1}{r^2 f(r)} \frac{d}{dr} \left[r^2 f(r) \left((U - V_A) E_w^* + U P_w^* \right) \right] = U \frac{dP_w^*}{dr} + 2E_w^* \left(4b \frac{u}{r} + 2b \frac{U}{f(r)} \frac{df(r)}{dr} \right) \\ & + \frac{\rho}{2} \left[-2\alpha \frac{E_T^* |E_T^{\infty} + E_C^{\infty}||E_T^{\infty} - E_C^{\infty}|^{1/2}}{L_\infty^+} + \frac{S^{\langle z^{*+2} \rangle}}{2} \right], \end{aligned}$$

Turbulence energy density

Turbulence pressure

 $E_w^* = \rho E_T^*/2$ (Adhikari et al 2022, see also Wang et al. 2022) OPEN ACCESS





Possible Evidence for Shear-driven Kelvin–Helmholtz Instability along the Boundary of Fast and Slow Solar Wind in the Corona

Daniele Telloni¹, Laxman Adhikari², Gary P. Zank^{2,3}, Lingling Zhao², Luca Sorriso-Valvo^{4,5}, Ester Antonucci¹, Silvio Giordano¹, and Salvatore Mancuso¹



Model results + Fast solar wind

Model results + PSP data



Model results + PSP data



Model results + PSP & Helios 2 data



(Adhikari et al 2021)

Model results + Slow solar wind

First Parker Solar Probe–Solar Orbiter Radial Alignment



Evolution of Slow Solar Wind



Evolution of Turbulence Energy (Adhikari et al 2022)





Evolution of Correlation Length



The theoretical 2D correlation length (solid curves) increases much more rapidly than the theoretical NI/slab correlation length. This is different from Ruiz et al. (2011) and Cuesta et al. (2022). In their results, the slab (or parallel) correlation length increases faster than the 2D (or perpendicular) correlation length.

Turbulence energy vs Angle



(Adhikari et al 2021)

2D and slab turbulence energy

(Adhikari et al 2022)



i) $0^{\circ} < \theta_{UB} < 25^{\circ}$ and $155^{\circ} < \theta_{UB} < 180^{\circ}$, and ii) $65^{\circ} < \theta_{UB} < 115^{\circ}$ Slab turbulence 2D turbulence



2D and slab cascade rate



Summary

- Dissipation of 2D turbulence is mainly responsible for the heating of the solar corona and the acceleration of the solar wind.
- PSP (and Helios) observations of large-scale SW and turbulence quantities consistent with NI/slab results from quasi-2D model since the fast wind observations made in the fast field-aligned solar wind flow (only slab fluctuations visible to PSP).
- PSP observations of large-scale Alfvenic slow SW and turbulence quantities consistent with NI/slab results.
- Near the Sun, PSP observes mainly the slab component. Whereas, SolO observes both the 2D and slab components frequently.
- 2D turbulence energy/heating rate is larger than the slab turbulence energy/heating rate.