Magnetic field extrapolations constrained by the coronal loops

Iulia Chifu

Institute for Astrophysics and Geophysics University of Göttingen

> 11 July 2023 ISSI-BJ



3D CL reconstruction

Results 0000 Conclusions 0

Coronal loops



Methods for 3D loop reconstruction

Stereoscopy

- An indirect approach for deriving the 3D magnetic field shape in the corona
- Usually, it makes use of two view directions

Methods for 3D loop reconstruction

Stereoscopy

- An indirect approach for deriving the 3D magnetic field shape in the corona
- Usually, it makes use of two view directions

Multi-view B-spline Stereoscopic Reconstruction (MBSR)

- developed and implemented by B. Inhester @ MPS
- retrieves the 3D information of curve-like objects (coronal loops, prominences, leading edge of the CMEs)
- $\bullet\,$ two & three view directions \to N views
- reconstructs directly smoothed 3D curves using only tie-point data as input

Multi-view B-spline Stereoscopic Reconstruction

- 1. The epipolar geometry
 - stereo base line, angle, plane
 - epipolar plane/line
- 2. Identification and matching
 - automatic
 - by visual inspection



Inhester(2006)



Conclusions 0

Magnetic field extrapolations

- potential field extrapolation
- linear force-free field (LFFF)
- nonlinear force-free field (NLFFF)
- magnetohydrostatics (MHS)
- static-MHD

Nonlinear force-free field (NLFFF) extrapolation

Assumptions

- coronal structures are observed to be in steady state
 ⇒ -∇p + ρg + j × B = 0
- β << 1 ⇒ magnetic pressure dominates over the plasma pressure and gravity (Wiegelmann and Sakurai, 2012)



Nonlinear force-free field (NLFFF) extrapolation

Assumptions

- coronal structures are observed to be in steady state
 ⇒ -∇p + ρg + j × B = 0
- β << 1 ⇒ magnetic pressure dominates over the plasma pressure and gravity (Wiegelmann and Sakurai, 2012)

Force-free approximation $\Rightarrow (\nabla \times \mathbf{B}) \times \mathbf{B} = 0$ $\Rightarrow \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$



Nonlinear force-free field (NLFFF) extrapolation

Assumptions

- coronal structures are observed to be in steady state
 ⇒ -∇p + ρg + j × B = 0
- $\beta << 1 \Rightarrow$ magnetic pressure dominates over the plasma pressure and gravity (Wiegelmann and Sakurai, 2012)

Force-free approximation

 $\Rightarrow (\nabla \times \mathbf{B}) \times \mathbf{B} = 0$ $\Rightarrow \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$

NLFFF method

- Input: vector magnetic field
- Mostly applied for single AR, filaments
- Shortcomings:
 - condition that $\beta << 1$ does not hold in the photosphere and low chromosphere - ill-posed problem: the resulting B field is more affected by errors in the boundary data, the higher the altitude above the surface

Conclusions 0

Methods to extrapolate NLFFF from boundary data:

- Grad-Rubin Method (Grad & Rubin 1958; Sakurai 1981)
- Magnetofrictional method (Choudra & Schlüter 1981)
- Greens function method (Yan & Sakurai 2000)
- Optimization method (Wheatland et al. 2000)

Conclusions 0

Methods to extrapolate NLFFF from boundary data:

- Grad-Rubin Method (Grad & Rubin 1958; Sakurai 1981)
- Magnetofrictional method (Choudra & Schlüter 1981)
- Greens function method (Yan & Sakurai 2000)
- Optimization method (Wheatland et al. 2000)

Nonlinear Force-Free Field optimization method

 \Rightarrow initially propose by Wheatland, Sturrock & Roumeliotis, 2000 \Rightarrow extended and implemented by Wiegelmann, 2004; Wiegelmann and Inhester, 2010; Tadesse et al., 2011

$$L = \frac{1}{V} \int_{V} w_{f} \frac{|(\nabla \times \mathbf{B}) \times \mathbf{B}|^{2}}{B^{2}} d^{3}r + \frac{1}{V} \int_{V} w_{f} |\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}|^{2} d^{3}r + \frac{1}{V} \int_{S} (\mathbf{B} - \mathbf{B}_{obs}) \cdot \operatorname{diag}(\sigma_{q}^{-2}) \cdot (\mathbf{B} - \mathbf{B}_{obs}) d^{2}r$$

Conclusions 0

Methods to extrapolate NLFFF from boundary data:

- Grad-Rubin Method (Grad & Rubin 1958; Sakurai 1981)
- Magnetofrictional method (Choudra & Schlüter 1981)
- Greens function method (Yan & Sakurai 2000)
- Optimization method (Wheatland et al. 2000)

Nonlinear Force-Free Field optimization method

 \Rightarrow initially propose by Wheatland, Sturrock & Roumeliotis, 2000 \Rightarrow extended and implemented by Wiegelmann, 2004; Wiegelmann and Inhester, 2010; Tadesse et al., 2011

$$L = \underbrace{\frac{1}{V} \int_{V} w_{f} \frac{|(\nabla \times \mathbf{B}) \times \mathbf{B}|^{2}}{B^{2}} d^{3}r}_{L_{1}} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{V} \int_{V} w_{f} |\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}|^{2} d^{3}r}_{L_{2}} + \frac{1}{V} \int_{S} (\mathbf{B} - \mathbf{B}_{obs}) \cdot \operatorname{diag}(\sigma_{q}^{-2}) \cdot (\mathbf{B} - \mathbf{B}_{obs}) d^{2}r$$

Conclusions 0

Methods to extrapolate NLFFF from boundary data:

- Grad-Rubin Method (Grad & Rubin 1958; Sakurai 1981)
- Magnetofrictional method (Choudra & Schlüter 1981)
- Greens function method (Yan & Sakurai 2000)
- Optimization method (Wheatland et al. 2000)

Nonlinear Force-Free Field optimization method

 \Rightarrow initially propose by Wheatland, Sturrock & Roumeliotis, 2000 \Rightarrow extended and implemented by Wiegelmann, 2004; Wiegelmann and Inhester, 2010; Tadesse et al., 2011

$$L = \underbrace{\frac{1}{V} \int_{V} w_{f} \frac{|(\nabla \times \mathbf{B}) \times \mathbf{B}|^{2}}{B^{2}} d^{3} t}_{L_{1}} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{V} \int_{V} w_{f} |\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}|^{2} d^{3} r}_{L_{2}} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{V} \int_{S} (\mathbf{B} - \mathbf{B}_{obs}) \cdot \operatorname{diag}(\sigma_{q}^{-2}) \cdot (\mathbf{B} - \mathbf{B}_{obs}) d^{2} r}_{L_{3}}$$

Conclusions 0

Methods to extrapolate NLFFF from boundary data:

- Grad-Rubin Method (Grad & Rubin 1958; Sakurai 1981)
- Magnetofrictional method (Choudra & Schlüter 1981)
- Greens function method (Yan & Sakurai 2000)
- Optimization method (Wheatland et al. 2000)

Nonlinear Force-Free Field optimization method



Conclusions 0

Methods to extrapolate NLFFF from boundary data:

- Grad-Rubin Method (Grad & Rubin 1958; Sakurai 1981)
- Magnetofrictional method (Choudra & Schlüter 1981)
- Greens function method (Yan & Sakurai 2000)
- Optimization method (Wheatland et al. 2000)

Stereoscopy-Nonlinear Force-Free Field optimization method



Results 0000 Conclusions 0

3D Coronal loop reconstruction with Machine Learning

Motivation

 \Rightarrow S-NLFFF: method using 3D stereoscopically reconstructed loops for the NLFFF extrapolation (Chifu et al., 2015, 2017) \rightarrow quality of the magnetic field model increased

Conclusions

3D Coronal loop reconstruction with Machine Learning

Motivation

 \Rightarrow S-NLFFF: method using 3D stereoscopically reconstructed loops for the NLFFF extrapolation (Chifu et al., 2015, 2017) \rightarrow quality of the magnetic field model increased

- \Rightarrow Stereoscopy reconstruction challenges:
 - at least two view directions
 - identification and matching



Conclusions 0

3D Coronal loop reconstruction with Machine Learning

Motivation

 \Rightarrow S-NLFFF: method using 3D stereoscopically reconstructed loops for the NLFFF extrapolation (Chifu et al., 2015, 2017) \rightarrow quality of the magnetic field model increased

- \Rightarrow Stereoscopy reconstruction challenges:
 - at least two view directions
 - identification and matching



(Chifu et al.,2017)

 \Rightarrow Solution: we developed a method which uses only one view image for the 3D reconstruction of the coronal loops (Chifu and Gafeira, 2021 ApJL 910 L10)

Results 0000

Data preparation

Results 0000

Data preparation

 \Rightarrow Neural network (CNN) method learns to extract useful peaces of information from the 2D loops in order to derive their 3D geometry. \Rightarrow An important part is training the network: data preparation

Data preparation

⇒ Neural network (CNN) method learns to extract useful peaces of information from the 2D loops in order to derive their 3D geometry. ⇒ An important part is training the network: data preparation ⇒ High number and high diversity of loops

Data preparation

- \Rightarrow An important part is training the network: data preparation
- \Rightarrow High number and high diversity of loops
- \Rightarrow Applying NLFFF on four different boundary data \rightarrow tracing more than 10000 3D loops from each of the NLFFF solutions

Data preparation

- \Rightarrow An important part is training the network: data preparation
- \Rightarrow High number and high diversity of loops
- \Rightarrow Applying NLFFF on four different boundary data \rightarrow tracing more than 10000 3D loops from each of the NLFFF solutions
- \Rightarrow For each 3D loop we obtained the projection of it

Data preparation

- \Rightarrow An important part is training the network: data preparation
- \Rightarrow High number and high diversity of loops
- \Rightarrow Applying NLFFF on four different boundary data \rightarrow tracing more than 10000 3D loops from each of the NLFFF solutions
- \Rightarrow For each 3D loop we obtained the projection of it
- \Rightarrow For each 3D/2D loop: position, distance between the foot points, the length, the angle between the footpoints and the top of the loop

Data preparation

- \Rightarrow An important part is training the network: data preparation
- \Rightarrow High number and high diversity of loops
- \Rightarrow Applying NLFFF on four different boundary data \rightarrow tracing more than 10000 3D loops from each of the NLFFF solutions
- \Rightarrow For each 3D loop we obtained the projection of it
- \Rightarrow For each 3D/2D loop: position, distance between the foot points, the length, the angle between the footpoints and the top of the loop
- \Rightarrow The neural network method uses 80% of the input loops as training set and the rest of 20% as validation set

Case 0

- NLFFF in Cartesian coordinates to a semi-analytic Low & Lou boundary data
- computational box 192x192x96
- From the NLFFF solution 21704 magnetic field lines (black dashed line)
- Orange solid lines: CNN reconstructed loops
- RMS of the ratio between the CNN reconstructed and original loops



Results 0●00

Case 1

- NLFFF in Cartesian coordinates to a HMI/SDO boundary data
- computational box: 1280x400x320
- From the NLFFF solution 10127 magnetic field lines (black dashed line)
- $\bullet~{\sf CNN}$ reconstructed loops: orange (RMS ${<}1.1$) and blue (RMS ${>}1.1)$
- $\bullet~99.06\%$ with 0.9< RMS ${<}1.1$



Results 00●0

Case 2

- NLFFF (spherical coordinates) to a synoptic vector magnetogram;
- computational box 180x270x720
- From the NLFFF solution 18555 magnetic field lines (black dashed line)
- $\bullet~$ CNN reconstructed loops: orange (0.5< RMS $<\!1.5$), blue (0< RMS $<\!0.5$) and red (1.5< RMS $<\!2.$)
- $\bullet~94.5\%$ with 0.5< RMS ${<}1.5$





3D CL reconstructior 00 Results 000●

How will this actually work?



3D CL reconstructior 00 Results 000●



3D CL reconstructior 00 Results 000●



Results 0000



The Convolutional Neural Network method for the 3D loop reconstruction based only on the 2D information retrieves solutions which can be further used with confidence for constraining the magnetic field modelling.

Results 0000



The Convolutional Neural Network method for the 3D loop reconstruction based only on the 2D information retrieves solutions which can be further used with confidence for constraining the magnetic field modelling.

Thank you !